THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE STATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Shanghai Patent & Trademark Law Office Application No.: 00814875.9 Application Date: October 23, 2000
Application Date: October 23, 2000 Agent: Title: COMPRESSOR AND REFRIGERATION SYSTEM THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION (PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
Application Date: October 23, 2000 Agent: Title: COMPRESSOR AND REFRIGERATION SYSTEM THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION (PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION (PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
THE FIRST OFFICE ACTION (PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
(PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. ☐ According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
(PCT APPLICATION IN THE NATIONAL PHASE) 1. According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. ☐ According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
 According to the Request for Substantive Examination raised by the applicant and based on the provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
provision of Item 1, Article 35 of the Patent Law, the Examiner has proceeded with the Examination as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
as to Substance on the above mentioned application for patent for invention. According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
According to Item 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law, the Patent Office has decided to examine
the above application for patent for invention.
2. The applicant has requested that the filling date of
October 26, 1999 at the JP Patent Office as the priority date,
at the Patent Office as the priority date,
at the Patent Office as the priority date,
3. The following amended documents submitted by the applicant cannot be accepted for not conforming
to the provision of Article 33 of the Patent Law:
The Chinese version of the attachment of the International Preliminary Examination Report.
The Chinese version of the amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 19 of
the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
The amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of the Paten
Cooperation Treaty.
The amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing
Regulations of the Patent Law.
Refer to the text of the notice for the specific reason of non-acceptance thereof.
4. The examination is conducted by directing at the Chinese version of the original International
Application submitted.
The examination is conducted by directing at the following application documents:
Description,
p, the Chinese version of the original International Application Document submitted;
p, the Chinese version of the attachment of the International Preliminary Examination
Report;
p, the amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41

of the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

		p, the amended document submitted Implementing Regulations of the Patent La	-				
		Claims,	aw.				
		, the Chinese version of the original International Application Document submitted.					
	, the Chinese version of the amended document submitted according to the provision						
Rule 19 of the Patent Cooperation Treaty.							
		, the Chinese version of the attachment					
	, the amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41						
	the Patent Cooperation Treaty.						
	, the amended document submitted according to the provision of Rule 51 of						
Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.							
		Attached Drawings,					
		p, the Chinese version of the original I	International Application Document submitted.				
		p, the Chinese version of the attachm	ent of the International Preliminary Examination				
		Report.					
		p, the amended document submitted as	ecording to the provision of Rule 28 or Rule 41 of				
		the Patent Cooperation Treaty.					
			according to the provision of Rule 51 of the				
		Implementing Regulations of the Pater	nt Law.				
0		•					
5.			ent(the number of which shall continue to be used				
	in the	subsequent examination proceedings):	·				
	No.	Number/Title of Document	Date of Publication (or the filing date of the				
			conflicting Application)				
	1	CN1207803A	February 10, 1999				
	2	JP11-92577A	April 6, 1999				
	3	CN1227907A	September 8, 1999				
	4	CN1031758C	May 8, 1996				
	5	CN1197188A	October 28, 1998				
_							
		aclusive opinion drawn from the examination	n:				
		egards the Specification:					
		- ·	ope stipulated by Article 5 of the Patent Law for				
		nich no patent right should be granted.					
	The specification does not conform with the provision of Item 3, Article 26 of the Patent Law.						
		ne drafting of the specification does not conform	i with the provision of Rule 18,19 of the				
		aplementing Regulations.					
		gards the Claims:	united in the color Audinia 22 and Dataset I am				
		claim does not possess the novelty as stip					
		claim 1-5,9,12,13 does not possess the inventive	ness as stipulated in Item 3, Article 22 of the				
		Patent Law.	inability on atimulated in Itams 4. Article 22 of the				
		Patent Law.	cability as stipulated in Item 4, Article 22 of the				
	r	attit Law.	•				

granted.

	Claim does not conform with the provision of Item 4, Article 26 of the Patent Law. Claim does not conform with the provision of Item 1, Article 31 of the Patent Law. Claim does not conform with the provision of Item 1, Rule 13 of the Implementing
	Regulations of the Patent Law.
	Claim does not conform with the provision of Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations of
	the Patent Law. Claim 1-4,7,8,12,13 does not conform with the provisions of Rules 20 of the Implementing
	Regulations of the Patent Law.
	Refer to the text of this Notice for the specific analyses of the conclusive opinion.
7.	Based on the above conclusive opinion, the Examiner deems that:
	The applicant shall amend the application documents in accordance with the requirements raised in the text of the Notice.
	The applicant shall discuss in his observations reasons why this application for patent can be granted a patent right, and amend the portions indicated in the text of the Notice which have been deemed as not conforming with the provisions, or no patent right shall be granted.
	There are no substantive contents in the application for patent that can be granted a patent right. If the applicant does not present reasons or the reasons presented are not sufficient, the application shall be rejected.
8.	The applicant is asked to note the following items:
	(1) According to the provision of Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant shall submit his observations within <u>four months</u> from the receipt of this Notice. Where, without justified reasons, the applicant does not respond at the expiration of said date, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
	(2) The applicant shall amend his application according to Article 33 of the Patent Law. The amended documents shall be in duplicate, and the form, in conformity with the relevant provisions in the Examination Guide.
	(3) The applicant and/or his agent can not, without first making an appointment, go to the Patent Office to have an interview with the Examiner.
	(4) The observations and/or the amended documents shall be mailed or delivered to the Department of Receipt, the Patent Office of the State Intellectual Property Office. No documents shall possess legal effects if not mailed or delivered to the Department of Receipt.
9.	The text of this Notice totals 4 page(s), and includes the following attachment(s): Multiplicate copy(ies) of cited comparison document(s), altogether 5 copy(ies) 31 pages.
Exai	mination Department: Examiner(Seal):

3

PCT171

中华人民共和国国家知识产权局

	<u> </u>			
邮政编码: 20		क्री	加思力	
上海专利商4 侯佳猷	标事务所		审查员签章	中
申请号	00814875. 9	部门及通知书类型	9D	发文日期 三 利 三
申 请人		专利局\		
发明名称	压缩机及	发文/		
	•			The same of the sa

第一次审查意见通知书

	(进入国家阶段的 PCT 申请)							
1.	☑依申请人提出的实审请求,跟据专利法第 35 条第 1 款的规定,审查员对上述发明专利申请进行实质审查。							
	□根据专利法第 35 条第 2 款的规定,国家知识产权局专利局决定自行对上述发明专利申请进行审查。							
2.	⊠申请人要求以其在:							
	日本 专利局的申请日 1999 年 10 月 26 日为优先权日,							
3.	□申请人于年月日提交的修改文件,不符合专利法实施细则第 51 条的规定。							
	□申请人提交的下列修改文件不符合专利法第 33 条的规定。							
	□国际初步审查报告附件的中文译文。							
	口依据专利合作条约第 19 条规定所提交的修改文件的中文译文。							
	□依据专利合作条约第 28 条或 41 条规定所提交的修改文件。							
4.	☑审查是针对原始提交的国际申请的中文译文进行的。							
	□审查是针对下述申请文件进行的:							
	□说明书 第页,按照原始提交的国际申请文件的中文译文;							
	第页,按照国际初步审查报告附件的中文译文;							
	第页,按照依据专利合作条约第 28 条或 41 条规定所提交的修改文件;							
	第页,按照依据专利法实施细则第51规定所提交的修改文件。							
	□权利要求 第项,按照原始提交的国际申请文件的中文译文;							
	第项,按照依据专利合作条约第 19 条规定所提交的修改文件的中文译文。							
	第项,按照国际初步审查报告附件的中文译文:							
	第项,按照依据专利合作条约第 28 条或 41 条所提交的修改文件;							
	第项,按照依据专利法实施细则第51规定所提交的修改文件。							
	□附图 第页,按照原始提出的国际申请文件的中文译文;							
	第页,按照国际初步审查报告附件的中文译文:							
	第页,按照依据专利合作条约第 28 条或 41 条所提交的修改文件;							
	第页,按照依据专利法实施细则第51条规定所提交的修改文件。							
	☑本通知书引用下述对比文献(其编号在今后的审查过程中继续沿用):							
	同感诸客。100088 北方市海滨区苏门场西土城路 6 号。国家知识弃权是去利民采用处收							